

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,830	01/26/2004	William J. Cultrara	20011.000201	7916
7590 12/02/2005		EXAMINER		
Thomas R. FitzGerald, Esq.			OLSON, LARS A	
Suite 210 16 E. Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14614-1803			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

મ '		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,830	CULTRARA, WILLIAM J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lars A. Olson	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailin tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are plicant may not request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. An amendment was received from the applicant on November 21, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the at least one bulkhead at the stern" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the at least one bulkhead at the stern" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/764,830

Art Unit: 3617

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

10

November 29, 2005

ARSA. OLSON

Page 3

11/29/05